

Appendix A: Compendium of problems, continued from Chapter 2

(Footnotes for this section located in “Footnotes: Chapter 2”)

September 1986, Dallas, Texas: The number of voters changed on various report printouts, but votes for individual candidates remained the same. The problem was attributed to a computer-programming error. Note the date on this report: Officials have been expressing concerns about computerized vote-counting for nearly two decades.

“With paper ballots, I can make the numbers add up ...” said Assistant Texas Attorney General Bob Lemens. “We are running into much tougher problems here.”

Texas Attorney General Jim Mattox said the computerized vote-counting clearly has the potential for fraud.

“I can’t send a reasonably good programmer to look at this system and determine that it is properly tabulating the ballots,” Mattox said.⁷²

November 1988, Hillsborough, Broward and Dade counties, Florida: A dropoff was observed in Senate votes from the previous general election, but only in counties that used computerized vote-counting machines. Counties without computerized vote-counting showed a 1 percent dropoff, while counties with computerized voting showed a dropoff of 8 percent.

“Something stands out there like a sore thumb,” said Michael Hamby, executive director of the Florida Democratic Party.⁷³

November 1989, Lima, Ohio: Representatives of Sequoia Pacific, makers of the voting machine software for Lima, failed to appear as requested, and election results were delayed until someone could work out the programming error and recount the votes. Nobody was quite sure how many races were affected, but the mayoral race and the school board races were in question for nearly a week after the election.⁷⁴

November 1990, King County, Washington: Worse than the butterfly ballot, some Democratic candidates watched votes alight, then flutter away. Democrat Al Williams saw 90 votes wander off his tally between election night and the following day, though no new counting had been done. At the same time, his opponent, Republican Tom Tangen, gained 32 votes. At one point several hundred ballots added to returns didn’t result in any increase in the number of votes. But elsewhere, the number of votes added exceeded the number of additional ballots counted. A Republican candidate achieved an amazing surge in his absentee percentage for no apparent reason. The miscounts were sporadic and thus hard to spot, and the errors disproportionately favored just one party. King County’s election manager recommended a countywide recount.⁷⁵

1994, New Orleans, Louisiana: Voting machine tests performed and videotaped by candidate Susan Barnecker demonstrated that votes she cast for herself were electronically recorded for her opponent. This test was repeated several times with the same result. (The video footage of this incident can be seen in Dan Hopsicker’s documentary video *The Big Fix 2000*, Mad Cow Productions).⁷⁶

November 1996, Bergen County, New Jersey: Democrats told Bergen County Clerk Kathleen Donovan to come up with a better explanation for

mysterious swings in vote totals. Donovan blamed voting computers for conflicting tallies that rose and fell by 8,000 or 9,000 votes. The swings perplexed candidates of both parties. For example, the Republican incumbent, Anthony Cassano, had won by about 7,000 votes as of the day after the election, but his lead evaporated later. One candidate actually lost 1,600 votes during the counting.

“How could something like that possibly happen?” asked Michael Guarino, Cassano’s Democratic challenger. “Something is screwed up here.” ⁷⁷

November 1996, Thurston County, Washington: An inexplicably large number of people went to the polls but did not vote in the hot House contest. A whopping 11.5 percent of Thurston County voters ignored the congressional race — nearly twice as many no-votes as other races in Thurston County and twice as many no-votes as other counties recorded.

“We have absolute confidence our machine is counting appropriately,” said Bob Van Schoorl, Thurston County’s chief deputy auditor.

J.R. Baker, of Democratic challenger Brian Baird’s campaign, was not satisfied. “They have not gone through any special testing to see if their machines are adequately counting the votes. Perhaps they need to do sample hand counts of precincts and compare them with the machine.” ⁷⁸

November 1996, Guadalupe County, Texas: Officials discovered a voting machine counted more votes in the presidential election than the number of ballots cast. Guadalupe County Elections Administrator J.R. Perez said the problem was with new software for the county’s Business Records Corp. Eagle vote counting system. Perez said a problem was identified with the software before the election, and he thought it had been fixed.

“I had no reason to believe the system was not tabulating right,” Perez said. ⁷⁹

Tucson, Arizona:

1984 - 826 legitimate ballots were discarded in Oro Valley because of a computer error. The error wasn’t discovered until after the deadline for counting them.

1996 - A software programming error mixed up the votes cast for two Republican supervisor candidates.

1997 - More than 8,300 votes in the City Council race were initially left uncounted because of defective punch-card ballots, which were provided by the voting machine company.

1997 - The city had to hand-count 79,000 votes because of a manufacturing defect in the ballots, provided by the voting machine company.

1998 - 9,675 votes were missed in the tabulation. After canvassing, officials realized that no votes had been recorded for 24 precincts even though voter rolls indicated thousands had voted at those polling places. Global Elections Systems (now called Diebold Election Systems) tried to figure out why the computer had failed to record the votes. ⁸⁰

November 1998, Franklin County, Ohio: One candidate was incorrectly credited with 14,967 votes; another received 6,889 in error. Deborah Pryce and John R. Kasich gained 13,427 votes and 9,784 votes, respectively, after election officials hand-checked vote totals in 371 machines that were affected by a software programming error. A spokesman for Danaher Corp., which

supplied electronic voting machines to the county, told the board that such a problem had never before happened in Franklin County. No one caught the error while downloading the data into voting machine memory cartridges.⁸¹

November 1998, Washoe County, Nevada: A breathtaking number of snafus in the Washoe County registrar's office caused candidates in Reno to liken the election to the movie *Groundhog Day*, in which the lead character relives the same day over and over again. Count votes. Computer failure. Go to court. Recount the votes. Software error. Back to court. Start over counting, and so on.⁸²

December 1998, Canada: What was billed as a historic first for the Canadian Wheat Board turned into an embarrassment as a programming error threw the election results into question. The firm hired to count the ballots found a flaw in the computer program that tabulated results for the agency's first-ever board of directors.⁸³

September 1998, Kansas City, Kansas: Republican John Bacon, a staunch conservative, celebrated a resounding victory for the 3rd District Kansas Board of Education seat, defeating moderate Republican Dan Neuenswander by 3,018 votes. Two weeks later Neuenswander learned that the race had been dead even with the margin of loss being just 24 votes. No one offered any explanation for the discrepancy.⁸⁴

August 1998, Memphis, Tennessee: In the governor's race, a software programming error in Shelby County began crediting votes to the wrong candidates. Computer cartridges containing 295 individual precinct results were taken to a central location because the scanner couldn't read them. The system that was shut down had posted the incorrect results to newsrooms across the city. At least one television station broadcast the bogus results.⁸⁵

November 1998, Chicago, Illinois: One hundred eight of 403 precincts were not counted. A pin from the cable connecting the ballot reader to the counting computer had gotten bent after three-fourths of the precincts had been counted correctly. No one could explain how a pin inside a cable had become bent during the middle of the count. Democrats requested a full recount; a judge disallowed it.⁸⁶

November 1998, Honolulu, Hawaii: A state senate investigation was conducted into the 1998 malfunction of voting machines in seven precincts at once. ES&S acknowledged the error and paid more than \$250,000 for the recount, in which the biggest expense was hand counting, according to Vice President Todd Urosevich. ES&S financial officer Richard Jablonski said ES&S would have saved a lot of money if it had been permitted to do only a machine recount, giving voice to a financial incentive for vendors to get rid of paper ballots.⁸⁷

November 1999, Norfolk, Virginia: Machines showed totals of zero but votes had been cast. Edward O'Neal, Norfolk Electoral Board vice chairman, said, "Somehow, they lost their ability to count the votes."⁸⁸

November 2000, Arapahoe County, Colorado: Officials agreed to reconfigure the vote-reading machines for a recount because they had been set wrong and therefore did not read all of the votes. Because Democrats wanted the additional recounts, they had to pay the bill, which came to about \$11,000.⁸⁹

November 2000, Denver County, Colorado: Four voting machines malfunctioned. Voting officials mistakenly assumed those machines were not used, but there were 300 votes on them.⁹⁰

Crozet, Virginia (anecdotal report from a voter): “When I pushed the button beside ‘No’ the machine registered my vote as a ‘Yes.’ I tried this a couple of more times and got the same result. Finally, I poked my head outside the curtain and asked the ‘attendant’ what I should do. Whenever I made my choice, the opposite choice lit up. He suggested then that I should intentionally push the wrong button.”⁹¹

November 2000, Volusia County, Florida: A clerk in one precinct could not reach election headquarters to report that the computer had shut down, so the clerk turned the computer off, then turned it back on, accidentally erasing 320 votes. This was discovered only when workers counted all ballots by hand. Election supervisors across Florida say the phone clog happens during most presidential elections, but few people notice.⁹²

November 2000, Davidson County, North Carolina: A computer error allowed election software to count about 5,000 early and absentee ballots twice. A reporter brought the discrepancy to light during the county election board’s official canvass. The incorrect vote totals appeared only on the official report sent to the state Board of Elections in Raleigh.⁹³

November 2000, San Francisco, California: In polling place 2214, machines counted 416 ballots, but there were only 362 signatures in the roster and the secretary of state found only 357 paper ballots.⁹⁴

February 2000, Manatee, Florida: A power surge was reported to be the cause of incorrect computerized vote tallies. A hand count was performed. And because the hand count showed that a candidate lost by just two votes, another hand count was done. All results, including two hand counts, were completed within 48 hours.⁹⁵

November 2000, Albuquerque, New Mexico: A software programming error in New Mexico led officials to withhold about 60,000 ballots from their vote count. According to an AP wire service report: “Their (voting) machines have a problem in the database,” elections bureau director Denise Lamb said, “and they can’t count any of the straight-party ballots.”⁹⁶

November 2001, Buffalo, New York: The poll book showed 96 Republicans signed in to vote at the polling place at Ohio Elementary School, but when the machine was checked, it tallied 121 votes for mayor: 74 for David Burgio and 47 for Mary Kabasakalian.⁹⁷

April 2002, Johnson County, Kansas: Johnson County’s new Diebold touch-screen machines, proclaimed a success on election night, did not work as well as originally believed. Incorrect vote totals were discovered in six races, three of them contested, leaving county election officials scrambling to make sure the unofficial results were accurate. Johnson County Election Commissioner Connie Schmidt said that internal checks revealed that the system had under- and over-reported hundreds of votes. Schmidt said the voting machines worked fine, they just tabulated wrong.

“The machines performed terrifically,” said Robert J. Urosevich, president of Diebold Election Systems. “The anomaly showed up on the reporting part.”

The problem, however, was so perplexing that Schmidt asked the Board of Canvassers to order a hand recount to make sure the results were accurate. Unfortunately, the touch-screen machines did away with the ballots, so the only way to do a hand recount was to have the machine print simulations of ballots from its internal data. Diebold tried to recreate the error in hopes of correcting it.

“I wish I had an answer,” Urosevich said. In some cases, vote totals changed dramatically.⁹⁸

November 2002, Palm Beach, Florida: A Florida woman, a former news reporter, discovered that votes were being tabulated in 644 Palm Beach precincts, but only 643 precincts had any eligible voters. An earlier court case in Florida had found the same discrepancy, and the reason for it was never satisfactorily explained.⁹⁹

November 2002, New Jersey: A reporter in New Jersey observed 104 precincts with votes in an area that has only 102 precincts. “Ghost precincts,” no matter what the official explanation, do not provide the transparent accounting needed to protect voting integrity.”⁹⁹

March 2002, Palm Beach County, Florida: Touch-screen machines sometimes froze up when voters selected which language to use. Phil Foster from Sequoia Voting Systems attributed the problem to a software programming error. Elections Supervisor Theresa LePore also said she heard that some people touched one candidate’s circle on the screen, only to see an X appear by another candidate’s name.¹⁰⁰

November 2002, Dallas, Texas: When 18 machines were pulled out of action in Dallas because they registered Republican when voters pushed Democrat, Judge Karen Johnson, a Republican, quashed an effort to investigate the accuracy of the tally.¹⁰¹

March 2002, Medley, Florida: Voting machines gave the town council election to the wrong candidate. The problem was attributed to a programming error by a voting machine technician. County Elections Supervisor David Leahy said he was concerned because the computer did not raise any red flags; humans had to spot the error.¹⁰²

November 2002, Monterey, California: California machines couldn’t add. The problem in Monterey, California, was that the department’s mainframe computers refused to add the results of early absentee votes and those cast on touch-screen computers prior to Election Day.

“We didn’t have any problems whatsoever during our pre-election tests,” said the elections official.¹⁰³

November 2002, South Carolina: A software programming error caused more than 21,000 votes in the close race for S.C. commissioner of agriculture to be uncounted, an error margin of 55 percent. Only a paper ballot hand count was able to sort it out.¹⁰⁴

November 2002, Taos, New Mexico: Just 25 votes separated the candidates in one race; another race had a 79-vote margin. After noticing that the computer was counting votes under the wrong names, Taos County Clerk Jeannette Rael contacted the programmer of the optical-scan voting machine and was told that the problem was a software programming error.¹⁰⁵

November 2002, Pennsylvania: In Pennsylvania, a voter reported that he had followed his conscience and voted Libertarian. When he reviewed the results for his precinct, though, the Libertarian candidate received zero votes. There are two ways to look at this: unimportant, just a vote; or a 100 percent error. Either way, this man did not get to vote for whom he wanted. ¹⁰⁶

November 2002, New York: Voting machine tallies were impounded in New York. Software programming errors hampered and confused the vote tally on election night and most of the next day, causing elections officials to pull the plug on the vote-reporting Web site. Commissioners ordered that the voting tallies be impounded, and they were guarded overnight by a Monroe County deputy sheriff. ¹⁰⁷

November 2002, North Carolina: Elections officials tried to find 300 voters so they could vote again. In Wake County, North Carolina, one out of four new touch-screen voting machines failed in early voting, losing 294 votes. Election workers looked for the 294 voters to ask them to vote again. (A voter-verified paper ballot would have solved this problem.) ¹⁰⁸

November 2002, Florida: Gubernatorial candidate Bill McBride was a tough guy to vote for: One voter said that he tried 10 times, and every time he pressed McBride, the Jeb Bush choice lit up. He could only get his vote to light up the McBride choice when he pressed a dead area of the screen. No paper ballot was available, so no one really knows who got any of the votes, regardless of which candidate lit up. Similar problems were reported in various permutations, for various candidates, by several Florida voters, and an identical problem was noted in Texas. ¹⁰⁹

November 2002, St. Bernard Parish, Louisiana: All the king's horses and all the king's men couldn't put the tally together again: With a 34-vote margin separating the two candidates for justice of the peace in St. Bernard Parish, the machine ate 35 absentee votes and left everyone guessing about the outcome of the race. The ballots became inaccessible when the system locked up; even the technician couldn't get at them. ¹¹⁰

November 2002, Georgia: In one Georgia county, ballots in at least three precincts listed the wrong county commission races. Officials shut down the polls to fix the problem but didn't know how many wrong ballots were cast or how to correct errant votes. In another, a county commission race was omitted from a ballot. Cards voters needed to access machines malfunctioned. Machines froze up, and dozens had been misprogrammed. ¹¹¹

November 2002, Nebraska: Charlie Matulka, the Democratic candidate for U.S. Senate in Nebraska, arrived at the polls to vote for himself. When he looked at the optical-scan ballot he had been given, he discovered it had already been filled out for his opponent, Chuck Hagel, giving Nebraska the most newfangled voting of all — not just electronic voting, but *automatic* voting! ¹¹²

January 2003, Everett, Washington: If there was any doubt that Republicans were right to ask for a recount of some Snohomish County absentee ballots from November's general election, it was erased by one sobering number: 21.5 percent of the ballots cast in 28 selected precincts were not counted. The Snohomish County Auditor's Office recounted 116,837 absentee

ballots after county officials discovered that the optical-scan ballot-counting machines had miscounted.

The problem was attributed to a faulty “read head” on each of two optical scanners; the heads failed to read ballots with blue ink. The machines had passed the test on blue ink before the election. The Sequoia representative could not recall that the “read head problem” had ever happened before.

When asked by a citizen how many machines of the same make and model number Sequoia has in the United States, she said, “About 1,500.” When asked how many years they’d been in use, she said about six years.

“Why, then,” asked a citizen, “would this unheard-of problem happen at exactly the same time in exactly the same place on two different machines at once?”

The Sequoia rep said she had no idea. ¹¹³

* * * * *

This compendium is by no means complete. Worse, these are examples that were noticed and covered in the press. For the 100 examples listed here and in Chapter 2, there are undoubtedly a great many more that were not written up in the newspaper, or were never noticed at all.

FOOTNOTES

Chapter 2

- 1 – *Mobile Register*, 28 January 2003; “Voting Snafu Answers Elusive”
- 2 – Factiva.com is a search engine frequently used by reporters for background. In 2002, it was known as DJInteractive.com.
- 3 – *The News & Observer*, 9 November 2002; “‘Winners’ may be losers”
- 4 – *Omaha World-Herald*, 6 November 2002; “A late night in Sarpy; glitches delay results”
- 5 – *Chicago Tribune*, 4 April 2003; “Returns are in: Software goofed Lake County tally misled ...”
- 6 – *Newsbytes News Network*, 24 April 1998; “Feature — Glitches Of The Week”
- 7 – *Chicago Tribune*, 4 April 2003; “Returns are in: Software goofed ...”
- 8 – Associated Press, reported in the *Wichita Eagle*, 22 August 2002; “Mayo won by a landslide ...Election reversed ...”
- 9 – *The Las Vegas Review-Journal*, 30 November 1994; “Voter fraud allegations continue”
- 10 – *Houston Chronicle*, 8 November 2002; “Ballot glitches reverse two election results”
- 11 – *The Atlanta Journal - The Atlanta Constitution*, 3 September 1998; “Elections Board Case: Candidate’s lawyer knows the feeling”
- 12 – *Newsbytes News Network*, 5 August 1997; “Glitches of the Week
- 13 – *Newsbytes News Network*, 22 April 1999; “Glitches of the Week”
- 14 – *Newsbytes News Network*, 9 December 1997; “Glitches of the Week”
- 15 – *The Salt Lake Tribune*, 25 June 1998; “Commission Primary Recount ...”
- 16 – *The Omaha World-Herald*, 15 June 1990; “Vote Confusion Blamed on Human Error”
- 17 – Company press release: PR Newswire, 12 September 2002; “Diebold Touch-Screen Voting Terminals Perform Well in Primary Elections”
- 18 – *The Omaha World-Herald*, 21 April 1992; “Omaha Firm Taps North Platte Native”
- 19 – *The Wall Street Journal*, 17 November 2000; “Fuzzy Numbers: Election Snafus Went Far Beyond ...”
- 20 – *Indianapolis Star*, 9 November 2003; “Vote count marred by computer woes”
- 21 – Associated Press, in the *Dallas Morning News*, 13 April 1996; “Vote tally miscounted in runoff ...”
- 22 – *The Arizona Daily Star*, 8 December 1994; “826 votes vanish in Oro Valley...”
- 23 – *All Africa*, 11 November 2002; “US Polls Plagued With Glitches”... Also *The Washington Times*, 6 November 2002; “Glitches cited at some polls ...”
- 24 – *The Baton Rouge Advocate*, 5 February 2002; Bill McCuen, guilty plea to felony charges of bribery, tax evasion and accepting kickbacks.
- 25 – *Honolulu Star Bulletin*, 3 February 1999; “Voting checks failed to detect fault twice; A flawed ballot counter passed a manual check and a mechanical test”
- 26 – *The Dallas Morning News*, 11 November 1998; “Election system company apologizes, offers partial refund Fixes proposed for problems that led to undercounts” (related articles Nov. 5 and 28)
- 27 – *Honolulu Star Bulletin*, 6/7/2000; “Firm admits errors in counting votes for Hawaii, Venezuela”

- 28 – *The Arizona Daily Star*, 11 November 1998; “Computer fails to record 9,675 Pima County votes”
- 29 – CNN: Breaking News, 8 November 2000; “Election 2000: Strange Events Plague Florida Polls”
- 30 – *The Post-Standard*, 5 December 2002; “More Florida Blunders; Precious Votes Should Be Counted”
- 31 – *Chicago Tribune*, 6 November 1993; “Kane Election Results Just Didn’t Compute”
- 32 – *Albuquerque Journal*, 19 November 2002; “County Certifies Vote Tally”
- 33 – Notes on “Workshop” on Voting Machine Security for Santa Clara County Supervisors, 11 February 2003;
see <http://verify.stanford.edu/dill/EVOTE/sc-2-11-2003.html>
- 34 – *The Everett Herald*, 20 January 2003; “County to Discuss Ballot-Counting Foul-up”
- 35 – *The Seattle Times*, 22 November 1990; “Thousand of Lost Votes Turn Up...”
- 36 – *The Tampa Tribune*, 2 May 1997; “Grand jury probes contested election”
- 37 – *Newsbytes News Network*, 4 June 1999; “Glitches of the Week”
- 38 – *The Knoxville News-Sentinel*, 8 November 1996; “A betrayal of voters; Disaster over early votes on unification demands action”
- 39 – *The Herald*, Rock Hill, SC , 25 October 2001; “The city election foul-up”
- 40 – *The Bradenton Herald*, 17 September 2002; “Sometimes the old ways are best”
- 41 – *The Atlanta Journal; The Atlanta Constitution*, 23 July 1998; “Election ’98 - Cobb glitch delayed tabulations statewide”
- 42 – NPR: *Morning Edition*, 6 November 2002; “Analysis: Senate races in Minnesota and South Dakota”
- 43 – *Orlando Sentinel*, 7 October 2001; “Election Goal: Low Profile, Changes Aim to Keep Day Fiasco-Free”
- 44 – *Daniel B. Spillane vs. VoteHere Inc.*, filed in King County, Washington; case no. 03-2-18799-8SFA
- 45 – *Seattle Times*, 19 November 2003; “Fired engineer reaches deal with election-software company”
- 46 – *The Las Vegas Review-Journal*, 19 July 1998; “The Clark County vote: How secure is it?”
- 47 – *Houston Chronicle*, 16 March 2002; “Candidate zeroes in on computer glitch”
- 48 – *The Commercial Appeal*, 7 August 1998; “Vote Totals ... ”
- 49 – *Newsbytes News Network*, 20 March 1998; “Feature — Glitches of the Week”
- 50 – *The Daily Oklahoman*, 6 November 1996; “Big Computer Glitch Causes Election Hitch”
- 51 – *The Baltimore Sun*, 4 November 1999, “Manufacturer of voting system assumes blame for Baltimore’s Election Day glitch; Failure to test software resulted in breakdown, delays in vote”
- 52 – Caltech/MIT Voting Technology Project, July 2001; www.vote.caltech.edu/
- 53 – The voter-verified ballot is, in effect, a contract between the voter and his government. An original signed contract trumps a facsimile, and paper trumps machine, unless it can be shown that the original was tampered with.
- 54 – *Deseret News*, 9 November 2002; “Texans tally triple match in exceptional election”
- 55 – *The Virginian-Pilot and The Ledger-Star*, 25 August 1997; “Warner Doggedly Pursues Divisive Election Inquiry”
- 56 – *The Las Vegas Review-Journal*, 19 July 1998; “The Clark County vote: How secure is it?”

- 57 – *Miami Herald*, 10 November 2002; and call-in report from a Miami accountant
- 58 – *The Tampa Tribune*, 6 November 1998; “Computer crash leads to countywide recount of votes”
- 59 – *The Commercial Appeal*, 5 March 2000; “Computer Glitch Hampers Voting ...”
- 60 – Minutes of City of Glenwood Springs Special City Council Meeting, 9 November 2000
- 61 – *Pittsburgh Post-Gazette*, 4 May 2001, “Hearing Gets Landslide of Voting Problems”
- 62 – *The Record*, 23 February 2000; “Expert Finds No Sabotage in Election, Reverses Stance ...”
- 63 – *The Baton Rouge Advocate*, 7 November 2002; “Voting machine glitches worrisome ...”
- 64 – *The Washington Times*, 6 November 2002; “Glitches cited at some polls ...”
- 65 – *Newsday*, 6 November 2003; “Voting glitches”
- 66 – *Newsday*, 6 November 2003; “Voting glitches”
- 67 – *The Baton Rouge Advocate*, 7 November 2002; Voting machine glitches worrisome ...”
- 68 – *Telegraph-Forum*, 6 November 2002; “Glitch sends vote count to Richland”
- 69 – Associated Press, 6 November 2002; “Equipment causes voting problems in several counties”
- 70 – *Atlanta Journal-Constitution*, 8 November 2002; “2002 Election: 2,180 Fulton ballots located after tally 67 memory cards misplaced ...”
- 71 – 7 November 2002; Interview with Paul Rosberg, candidate for Nebraska governor

(From Appendix A: Continuation of compendium from Chapter 2)

- 72 – *The Dallas Morning News*, 24 September 1986; “Dallas Officials Deny Election Fraud Claim”
- 73 – *Atlanta Journal; Atlanta Constitution*, 11 November 1988; “Thin Lead Allows Mack to Proclaim Victory in Senate Race”
- 74 – *The Plain Dealer*, 10 November 1989; “Tally mix-up still under investigation”
- 75 – *Seattle Post-Intelligencer*, 20 November 1990; “Recount of All Election Returns Recommended”
- 76 – *The Las Vegas Review-Journal*, 19 July 1998; “The Clark County vote: How secure is it?” and *The Big Fix, 2000*, Video by Dan Hopsicker, Mad Cow Productions
- 77 – *The Record*, 16 November 1996; “Bergen County Paper Ballots Finally Counted ...”
- 78 – *Seattle Post-Intelligencer*, 16 November 1996; “Democrats Seek Recount in 3rd District — Thurston County in Question.”
- 79 – *San Antonio Express-News*, 8 November 1996; “Computer glitch skews Guadalupe vote results”
- 80 – *The Arizona Daily Star*, 20 November 2001; “Year-old Votes Discovered in City Precinct Box”
- 81 – *The Columbus Dispatch*, 8 December 1998; “Tallies from November Election Change ...”
- 82 – *The Las Vegas Review-Journal*, 12 November 1998; “Washoe’s nightmare”
- 83 – *Winnipeg Free Press*, 9 December 1998; “Glitch forces recounts in CWB vote”
- 84 – *The Kansas City Star*, 19 August 1998; “Ballot tally change 3,000-vote error ...”

- 85 – *The Commercial Appeal*, 7 August 1998; “Computer Glitch Delays Final Vote Total ...”
- 86 – *Chicago Daily Herald*, 6 November 1998; “Computer glitch leads to vote error”
- 87 – *Honolulu Star Bulletin*, 10 March 1999; “Vote Recount to Cost \$250,000”
<http://starbulletin.com/1999/03/10/news/story9.html>
- 88 – *The Virginian-Pilot and The Ledger-Star*, 3 November 1999; “Tallying-machine malfunction leads to Norfolk recount”
- 89 – *Denver Post*, 29 November 2000; “Recount confirms Polis won seat ...”
- 90 – *Denver Post*, 28 November 2000; “Recount adds 300 ‘lost’ votes”
- 91 – *The George Loper Home Page*, letter to the editor, 30 November 2000; “Voting Irregularities in Crozet, Virginia.”
<http://loper.org/~george/archives/2000/Nov/30.html>
- 92 – *St. Petersburg Times*, 17 November 2000; “Busy signals taunt clerks at precincts”
- 93 – *Greensboro News & Record*, 15 November 2000; “Davidson Computer Glitch Doubled Votes ...”
- 94 – *The San Francisco Chronicle*, 11 February 2002; “2000 election finds work was sloppy”
- 95 – *Sarasota Herald-Tribune*, 10 February 2000; “Election recount makes no change”
- 96 – AP Online, 8 November 2000; “Ballots Withheld in New Mexico”
- 97 – *Buffalo News*, 18 October 2001; “Voting Snafu Won’t Change Mayoral Primary”
- 98 – *The Kansas City Star*, 5 April 2002; “Election errors unnerve Johnson County official”
- 99 – Call-in reports; November 2002 election; See also: Dr. Rebecca Mercuri’s work on voting machines, where she has similar reports: www.notablessoftware.com
- 100 – *The Palm Beach Post*, 14 March 2002; “Human goofs, not machines, drag vote tally into next day”
- 101 – *The Fort Worth Star-Telegram*, 30 October 2002; “Democrats to appeal voting case ruling”
- 102 – KRTBN Knight-Ridder Tribune Business News: *Miami Herald*, 4 April 2002; “Despite New Voting System, Human Error Mars Medley, Fla., Council Election”
- 103 – *Monterey Herald*, November 2002
- 104 – *The Herald*, Rock Hill, SC , 7 November 2002; “Machine glitch keeps votes from being counted”
- 105 – *Albuquerque Journal*, 7 November 2002; “Taos To Recount Absentee Ballots”
- 106 – 1 November 2002; Citizen report on the VoteWatch forum: <http://pub103.ezboard.com/fsoldiervoicefrm44.showMessage?topicID=1.topic>
- 107 – *Democrat & Chronicle* (Rochester, NY) , 7 November 2002; “John squeaks out victory”
- 108 – *The News & Observer* Raleigh, NC, 31 October 2002; “Machines lose 294 early votes”
- 109 – Votewatch citizen reports; http://www.votewatch.us/election_2002_findings.htm
- 110 – *The Times-Picayune*, 7 November 2002; “Machine snag leaves race up in the air; Trapped absentee ballots delays news of JP winner until today”
- 111 – AP Online, 5 November 2002; “Glitches Hit High-Tech Voting Systems”
- 112 – 6 November 2002; interview with Charlie Matulka, Democratic candidate for U.S. Senate in Nebraska
- 113 – Citizen meeting in Snohomish County, 23 January 2003

Chapter 3

- 1 – Excerpted from article at *Common Dreams*, 16 September 2002, “Elections in America: Assume Crooks Are in Control,” by Lynn Landes
- 2 – *CNN AllPolitics*, 5 November 1996; “Hagel scores big upset for Republicans”
- 3 – *The Washington Post*, 13 January 1997; “Brothers in Arms ...”
- 4 – *Hastings Tribune*, 6 November 1996, “Hagel savors upset win”
http://www.cnweb.com/tribune/old/nov96/nov6/nov6_hagel.html
- 5 – *The Omaha World-Herald*, 21 April 1992; “Omaha Firm Taps North Platte Native”
- 6 – *The Omaha World-Herald*: 3 June 1994; “Welsh Named Top Executive ...”
Hagel took over as interim CEO from Bob Urosevich in November 1993.
William F. Welsh III took the CEO position from Hagel in June 1994. Hagel remained as chairman.
- 7 – “Fact Sheet” faxed from Chuck Hagel’s office. Hagel resigned his chairmanship of American Information Systems on March 15, 1995, and announced his candidacy for the U.S. Senate on March 31, 1995.
- 8 – *Business Week*, 10 July 2000; “Chuck Hagel ... landslide upset”
- 9 – United States Senate Public Financial Disclosure for New Employee and Candidate Reports: Chuck Hagel, 1995.
- 10 – Salon.com, 20 February 2003; “Hacking Democracy”
- 11 – *The Hotline*, 3 January 2003; “White House: Hagel cares about the U.S. and yes, all mankind.”
- 12 – *The Hill*, 29 January 2003; “Hagel’s ethics issues pose disclosure issue”
- 13 – The AP, 31 January, 2003; “Hagel not ruling out run for White House”

Chapter 4

- 1 – Testimony before the U.S. House of Representatives Committee on Science, May 22, 2001; “Problems with Voting Systems and the Applicable Standards,” by Douglas W. Jones, University of Iowa Associate Professor and Former Chair of the Iowa Board of Examiners for Voting Machines and Electronic Voting Systems. <http://www.house.gov/science/full/may22/jones.htm>
- 2 – *The Wall Street Journal*, 17 November 2000; “Fuzzy Numbers ... Who Was Collecting Ballots In Oregon?”
- 3 – *The San Francisco Chronicle*, 19 February 2002; “S.F. voting system in shambles, mistrusted / Errors, mismanagement, instability”
- 4 – *San Francisco Election Fraud: June 1997 Stadium Bond Election*, <http://brasscheck.com/stadium/>; also *The San Francisco Chronicle*, 19 February 1997; “Supervisors Pass Ball to S.F. Voters ...”
- 5 – Thanks to the researchers at DemocraticUnderground.com for helping me source this widely reported story. LBJ vote-rigging story: *Worldnet Daily*: “Vote Early, Vote Often,” by Kay Daly; http://www.worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=16460 and, from the Kansas Taxpayers Network, “The Chad Farce,” by Karl Peterjohn; <http://home.southwind.net/~ktn/karl104.html>; and the LBJ biography *Means of Ascent*, by Robert Caro. Connection with John Connally can be found at <http://www.swt.edu/~lf14/conspire/lbj.html> and in his bio, <http://www.tsha.utexas.edu/handbook/online/articles/view/CC/fcosf.html>.
- 6 – Thanks to researchers Fredda Weinberg and Hedda Foil from

DemocraticUnderground.com for uncovering the links between Connally and the Johnson vote fraud, and finding documents on the connection of R. Doug Lewis to Connally. University of Virginia Center for Government Studies, "Presidential Selection: A Guide to Reform": Doug Lewis bio, managed affairs for John Connally: appendix. <http://www.centerforpolitics.org/reform/>

7 – *Los Angeles Times*, 12 November 2001; "Election 2000: A recount ... "

Chapter 5

- 1 – *Creative Loafing*, 2 April 2003; "High-Tech Train Wreck?"
- 2 – *CBC News*, 5 January 2003; "Computer vandal delays leadership vote."
- 3 – *The Guide to Identity Theft Prevention*, author: Johnny May
- 4 – Report from a pollworker, Marin County, California; reported by Greg Dinger of www.VerifiedVoting.org
- 5 – AP / Miami Herald, Saturday, March 15, 2003

Chapter 6

- 1 – See Chapter 16, "The Men Behind the Curtain"
- 2 – Associated Press Newswires, 3 February 2000; "Thurston County official kicks off campaign to succeed Munro"
- 3 – *Printing World*, 20 November 2003; "Sequoia scandal"
- 4 – The Tallahassee Democrat, 6 October 2002; "Former official profits from election reform Sandra Mortham was lobbyist for touch-screen machine maker"
- 5 – *Los Angeles Times*, 31 October 2002; "Conflict-of-Interest Probe of Ex-Elections Staffer Sought; The official helped certify voting machines, then joined a firm that sells the ... "
- 6 – Newsbytes News Network, 13 November 2003; "Cashing In on E-Voting?"
- 7 – *The Columbus Dispatch*, 1 September 2003; "Lobbyists going all out to get ballot contracts"
- 8 – *Palm Beach Daily Business Review*, 30 September 2003; "Contract Law"
- 9 – www.VerifiedVoting.org "Resolution on Electronic Voting"
- 10 – *Wired News*, 1 Feb 2003; "Silicon Valley to vote on tech"
- 11 – Interview of Dr. Britain Williams by the author, 12 February 2003
- 12 – Dave Ross Show, 3 January 2003, David Elliott, guest.
- 13 – Diebold memos, 14 January 2002; "Nearterm AVTS 4.x roadmap" Ken Clark wrote: "The California change should also require a major version number bump to GEMS (because of the protocol change). We can't reasonably expect all of California to upgrade to 1.18 this late in the game though, so we'll slip the change into GEMS 1.17.21 and declare this a bug rather than a new feature. What good are rules unless you can bend them now and again."
- 14 – Source code files for Diebold Election Systems, cvs.tar, Accutouch directory, VoterCard.cpp,v
- 15 – www.NASED.org, August 2003; "General Overview for Getting a Voting System Qualified"
- 16 – University of Virginia Center for Governmental Studies National Symposium, professional credentials of R. Doug Lewis.

Chapter 7

- 1 – InfoPlease.com: History and Government, U.S. Elections, Election Statistics: “National Voter Turnout in Federal Elections: 1960–2000” *Source:* Federal Election Commission. Data drawn from Congressional Research Service reports, Election Data Services Inc., and state election offices. <http://www.infoplease.com/ipa/A0781453.html>
- 2 – WNYC radio interview: with Jeet Heer, graduate student at York University in history and frequent contributor to *The Boston Globe* on American culture, explaining the influence of the intellectual icon Leo Strauss. May 22, 2003
- 3 – *International Herald Tribune*, 15 May 2003; “The long reach of Leo Strauss Neoconservatives.” According to Pfaff, Deputy Defense Secretary Paul Wolfowitz, and Abram Shulsky of the Pentagon’s Office of Special Plans took their doctorates under Strauss. Another neoconservative, William Kristol, studied under Strauss protégé Allan Bloom. Jeet Heer disputes this, saying that while Wolfowitz may have taken classes with Strauss, he took his main influence from Allan Bloom.

Chapter 8

- 1 – *The St. Petersburg Times* (Russia), 23 September 2003; “Chris Floyd’s Global Eye”
- 2 – *The Wall Street Journal*, 27 December 1985; “Cronus Director Lowers Stake to 5.4% From 12%”
- 3 – Dow Jones News Service - Ticker, 26 July 1984; “Cronus Indus announces several acquisitions”
- 4 – *The Wall Street Journal*, 2 November 1984; “Cronus Unit Acquisition”
- 5 – Dow Jones News Service, 8 November 1984; “Cronus Industries unit acquires two firms in Oklahoma”
- 6 – Dow Jones News Service - Ticker, 22 February 1985; “Cronus Industries unit buys Roberts & Son Inc.”
- 7 – Dow Jones News Service - Ticker, 28 March 1985; “Cronus Indus unit acquires Frank Thornber Co.”
- 8 – Dow Jones News Service - Ticker, 27 March 1985; “Cronus Indus unit acquires Dayton Legal Blank Co.”
- 9 – PR Newswire, 16 December 1985; “Cronus Industries Inc. Acquires Computer Election Systems Inc.”
- 10 – Dow Jones News Service - Ticker, 8 January 1986; “Cronus Indus unit acquires Integrated Micro Systems”
- 11 – Dow Jones News Service - Ticker, 5 March 1986 “Cronus Indus unit combines with Computer Concepts”
- 12 – Dow Jones News Service - Ticker, 5 March 1986 “Cronus Indus unit acquires two businesses”
- 13 – *The Omaha World-Herald*, 28 February 1984; “Election Year Boosts Fortunes of Omaha Firm”
- 14 – *San Francisco Examiner*, 4 August 1999; “Conservative group gears up for 2000 vote in California”

- 15 – *The Omaha World-Herald*, 3 June 1994; “Welsh Named Top Executive, Board Member”
- 16 – *The Omaha World-Herald*, 10 April 1996; “McCarthy Transfers Acceptance Shares”
- 17 – *Barron's*, 17 June 1996; “The Other Man From Omaha”
- 18 – *The Omaha World-Herald*, 12 June 1984, “84,000-Acre Kiewit Ranch Fails to Attract Any Buyers”
- 19 – *The Omaha World-Herald*, 15 July 2001; “Business People Lyman-Richey Names Officers”
- 20 – *Newsday*, 25 March 1986; “Convicted Firm Got City Pacts”
- 21 – Political Transcripts by Federal Document Clearing House, 18 July 1996
- 22 – *The Sunday Oklahoman*, 3 July 1983; “Public Agencies, Probed Firms Doing Business”
- 23 – *Sunday Times* (London), 17 November 1996; “Rec bidder under fire”
- 24 – *The Baton Rouge Advocate*, 16 August 2001; “Ala. businessman pleads guilty to role in election-machine scam”
- 25 – *The Press-Enterprise*, 19 August 2001; “Louisiana charges are unrelated to work with Riverside County voting machines”
- 26 – *The Baton Rouge Advocate*, 20 August 1999; “Fowler indicted in scheme, Audit led to malfeasance, laundering counts”
- 27 – *Saturday State Times/Morning Advocate*, 15 February 2003; “Appeals court affirms decision to toss case Immunity deal was in place, judge says”
- 28 – Business Wire, 27 October 2003; “Kathryn Ferguson, Former California and Texas Election Official, Joins Hart InterCivic to Head Company’s Voter Registration Group”
- 29 – *Denver Post*, 30 December; “1998 Election chief takes job with department contractor”
- 30 – *Los Angeles Times*, 15 November 2003; “The State; Elections Worker Is Transferred; A state employee involved with screening new voting machines is moved after it is revealed that ... ”
- 31 – Datamonitor Company Profiles, 23 May 2003; “De La Rue PLC - Products & Services Analysis”; and Business & Media, 21 September 2003, “Business - Business Comment”; and The Observer Ruelle, 14 July 2003; “Observer Column”
- 32 – Reuters News, 28 May 1997; “UK government outraged by lottery salary rises”
- 33 – Hoover’s Company Capsules, 4 September 2001; “De La Rue PLC”
- 34 – Reuters News, 27 July 2003; “De La Rue investors gunning for Gough”; and *Print Week*, 17 July 2003; “Investigation at De La Rue arm”
- 35 – *Citywire*, 11 July 2003; “Australia’s richest family buys De La Rue”; and *The Sunday Times*, 16 March 2003; “Aussie billionaire goes for De La Rue banknotes”; and The Sydney Morning Herald, 8 April 2002; “The \$300,000 Lord Who Lobbed Westfield Into Tony Blair’s Court”
- 36 – *Australian Financial Review*, 26 October 2002; “Perspective - Westfield - The cut-throat corporate”
- 37 – Business Wire, 4 August 2003; “Sequoia Voting Systems and VoteHere to Provide Additional Electronic Ballot Verification Options”
- 38 – *The Seattle Times*, 22 November 2000; “Computer balloting gets a boost Bellevue start-up gains new financing”
- 39 – Master’s thesis, 26 April 2001, University of Virginia, by Philip Varner: “Vote Early, Vote Often and VoteHere: A security analysis of VoteHere.”

Footnotes

- 40 – Business Wire, 3 October 2000; “Compaq Teams With VoteHere.net To Deliver Online Voting Pilots For Fall Presidential Elections”
- 41 – *Newsday*, 27 February 2003; “Saudi Link to LI Start-Up / Unnamed investors take over voting Web site”
- 42 – *Austin American-Statesman*, 28 February 2001; “Times of change at Hart; Founder reflects on end of an era for Austin institution”
- 43 – Knight Ridder Tribune Business News, 28 February 2001; “Austin, Texas, Graphics Company to Close after 89 Years in Business”
- 44 – Hoover’s Company Profiles, 11 March 2002; Triton Energy Limited.
- 45 – *InformationWeek*, 2 October 2000; “Cost Of Compliance”
- 46 – *Austin Business Journal*, 8 November 2001; “Investors cast \$7.5M vote for Hart InterCivic.”
- 47 – CN group web site <http://www.thecapitalnetwork.com/advisory.php#> and www.OpenSecrets.org
- 48 – *Global Energy Business*, 1 August 2001; “CAES: Ready for prime time” 34 Vol. 3, No. 4”
- 49 – www.polarisinstitute.org/corp_profiles/public_service_gats_pdfs/maximus.pdf
- 50 – *The San Francisco Chronicle*, 6 August 2002: “ ... Though Republican candidate for governor Bill Simon insists he knew nothing of his former investment partner’s criminal background, an investigation ordered by Simon’s accounting firm revealed four years ago that the man was a convicted drug dealer. ... Even a quick Internet search would have shown that Paul Edward Hindelang’s 1982 conviction for smuggling 500,000 pounds of marijuana into the country had been splashed over the front pages of Florida newspapers. ... Simon and Sons ... and their partners, as well as his attorneys and accounting firm, spent nearly \$1 million in so-called due diligence research on Hindelang and others involved.”
- 51 – Common Cause report: “President Bush’s Top Fundraisers”; source, Bush-Cheney ’04 Inc. as of June 30, 2003.
- 52 – *Akron Beacon Journal*, 1 July 2003; “Cheney cashes in for GOP at fund-raiser Fairlawn event brings in \$600,000; critics try to get message out”
- 53 – *The New York Times*, 9 November 2003; “Machine Politics In the Digital Age”
- 54 – *The Plain Dealer*, 16 September 2003; “Diebold executive to keep lower profile O’Dell says he regrets mixing politics with firm”
- 55 – Canada Dept. of Corporations, *Financial Post* database: Moly Mite Resources Inc. (B.C. 1982 amalg.) Name changed to Macrotrends Ventures Inc. April 4, 1986; Macrotrends Ventures Inc. amalgamated with Racer Resources Ltd. to form Macrotrends International Venture Inc. effective Aug. 17, 1988; Macrotrends International Ventures Inc. name changed to Global Election Systems Inc. following amalg. With North American Professional Technologies B.C. Ltd effective Nov. 22, 1991; Global Election Systems Ind Plan of Arrangement with US-based Diebold, Incorporated and Diebold Acquisition Ltd. Effective Jan. 31, 2002.
- 56 – Guy Lancaster resume, meeting with Guy Lancaster, Annual corporate information in the Canadian Survey of Industrials, which begins listing design of the ES-2000 in 1988.
- 57 – *Vancouver Sun*, May 11 1991; “The Money Pit? Taxpayer’s money marked for loans to western firms can disappear on VSE.”

- 58 – *Barron's*, May 1989; “Saga of an Unrepentant Tout — Meet Norton Cooper — and Why Investors Are Sorry They Did”
- 59 – *Vancouver Sun*, 3 September 1993; “Two blasts from the past find new connection in Farm Energy company”
- 60 – *Vancouver Sun*, 30 March 1994; “Unauthorized fees upset investors in fund.”
- 61 – *Vancouver Sun*, 20 May 2000; “Ex-Vignoble boss committed to trial: Businessman who borrowed money for his bail from disgraced Eron Mortgage Corp. facing fraud charges.”

Chapter 9

- 1 – “If You Want To Win An Election, Just Control The Voting Machines,” by Thom Hartmann: <http://www.commondreams.org/views03/0131-01.htm>. Thom Hartmann is the author of *Unequal Protection: The Rise of Corporate Dominance and the Theft of Human Rights* (www.unequalprotection.com)
- 2 – PUBLIC RECORD ACT REQUEST: Responding Agency: Alameda County Registrar of Voters, filed by Jim March on 29 July 2003. <http://www.equalccw.com/voteprar.html>
- 3 – PUBLIC RECORD ACT REPLY: Responding Agency: Alameda County Registrar of Voters, filed 8 August 2003. <http://www.equalccw.com/alamedafollowup.pdf>
- 4 – *The Palm Beach Post*: 17 September 2002; “Reno consults electronic voting foe”
- 5 – Unpublished interview of three experts on electronic voting, by William Rivers Pitt, author of *The Greatest Sedition is Silence*. Excerpted on Democratic Underground, 1 August 2003.
- 6 – *The Risks Digest*, Vol. 22: Issue 25. Monday 23 September 2002: Memo from Chris Riggall, press secretary for Cathy Cox, Georgia Secretary of State.
- 7 – Georgia Secretary of State Press Office; Media Backgrounder: *Multilevel Equipment Testing Program Designed to Assure Accuracy & Reliability of Touch Screen Voting System*
- 8 – Diebold AccuTouch Technical Data Package TSx, final certification; *Appendix D: Quality Control Manual* and *Appendix E: Testing Procedures*, submitted to Wyle Laboratories for certification in January 2003.
- 9 – RFP Sec 3.28, “Schedule for Deployment,”[#] submitted by Diebold Election Systems to the state of Georgia in March 2002.
- 10 – Math for Georgia testing: 22,000 machines x 10 minutes = 220,000 minutes; 220,000 minutes x 3 times = 660,000 minutes; Divide by 60 minutes = 11,000 hours; Divide by 40-hour work week = 275 work weeks, or 68 months; 68 months divided by 12 = 5.7 years; Amount of time available for acceptance testing: 4 months; Now add people: 68 months divided by 4 = 17 people working 40 hours per week for 4 months doing nothing but rigorous testing.
- 11 – Interview of Michael Barnes, assistant director of elections for the state of Georgia, by the author, 11 February 2002; Full, unabridged interview can be found in the library at www.blackboxvoting.org
- 12 – Interview of Dr. Britain Williams by the author, 12 February 2002; Full, unabridged interview can be found in the library at www.blackboxvoting.org
- 13 – Sources: Diebold memos about the Windows CE modifications; interview with a Diebold programmer in Vancouver on 6 December 2003; Interview with Rob

Behler, a technician in Georgia who was instructed to install Iredale's Windows CE patches, internal memos from Iredale; Windows CE source code found on the Diebold FTP site tagged to Iredale's personal computer.

- 14 – County voting statistics and Diebold sales document submitted to Santa Clara County, California, in February 2003
- 15 – AccuPoll voting system: <http://www.accupoll.com/Products/Top10/index.html>; “Non-proprietary hardware and open source software significantly reduce both initial acquisition and ongoing maintenance costs.”
- 16 – Diebold internal E-mail, 4 April 1999. From Ian Piper to Talbot Iredale.
- 17 – *The Register*, February 2003, republished 2 August 2003; “Computer ballot outfit perverts Senate race, theorist says” by Thomas C. Greene. <http://www.theregister.co.uk/content/55/29247.html> and (read also) <http://www.theregister.co.uk/content/35/29262.html>.
- 18 – *The Guide to Identity Theft Prevention*, by Johnny May, CPP. Statistics on identity theft are available from the Federal Trade Commission Identity Theft Data Clearinghouse: “Figures and Trends on Identity Theft in Texas” <http://www.consumer.gov/idtheft/statemap/texas.pdf> (2001) and http://www.consumer.gov/sentinel/pubs/Top10Fraud_2002.pdf (2002).
- 19 – *Cleveland Plain Dealer*, May 2002 interview with Wally O'Dell sent out as a company press release in September 2003.
- 20 – Interview with Guy Lancaster, 4 February 2003; According to Lancaster's Web site, he was in charge of the site for Global Election Systems; Lancaster has a small computer consulting firm and was under contract to Global Election Systems. When Diebold bought Global in January 2002, they transferred responsibilities for the site to a full-time Diebold employee but kept Lancaster on under a new contract.
- 21 – *The Washington Post*, 28 March 2003; “New Voting Systems Assailed; Computer Experts Cite Fraud Potential ”
- 22 – Interview w. Diebold spokesman Joe Richardson by the author, February 2003

Chapter 10

- 1 – Thomas Jefferson to Archibald Stuart. 1799
- 2 – Thomas Jefferson to Thomas Cooper, 29 November 1802
- 3 – *The Best Democracy Money Can Buy*, by Greg Palast (Pluto Press)
- 4 – Thomas Jefferson to George Washington, 1792
- 5 – Phone conversation between Bev Harris and Jim Galloway, March 2003
- 6 – *Scoop Media*, 10 February 2003; “System Integrity Flaw Found at Diebold Election Systems” <http://www.scoop.co.nz/mason/stories/HL0302/S00052.htm>
- 7 – *Scoop Media*, 13 February 2003; “Georgia's Last Minute 2002 Election Machine Fix” <http://www.scoop.co.nz/mason/stories/HL0302/S00095.htm>
- 8 – *Scoop Media*, 8 July 2003; “Sludge Report #154: Bigger than Watergate” <http://www.scoop.co.nz/mason/stories/HL0307/S00064.htm>
- 9 – *Baltimore City Paper*, 11 December 2002; “Computerized Balloting is Taking Over Elections in Maryland — But Can We Trust the Results?”
- 10 – *Salon.com*, 5 November 2002, “Voting into the void”; 20 February 2003, “Hacking Democracy”; 23 September 2003, “An open invitation to election fraud”

- 11 – Associated Press, 25 February 2003, “Silicon Valley Wary of Voting Machine”
- 12 – *Wired News*, 7 August 2003, “New security woes for e-vote firm”
- 13 – *The Plain Dealer*, 28 August 2003, “Voting machine controversy Head of firm seeking Ohio contract committed to Bush victory ”
- 14 – *The Beacon Journal*, 15 August 2003, “E-Voting Becomes Touchy Topic” by Erika D. Smith
- 15 – *The New Yorker*, 7 November 1988, “Annals of Democracy: Counting Votes” by Ronnie Dugger
- 16 – Rules of Office of the Secretary of State Election Division Chapter 590-8-1, Certification of Voting Systems
- 17 – Diebold internal document: “Certification Requirement Summary” Governing entity: Georgia
- 18 – Open Records Request, 25 March 2003, Response to Open Records Request from Denis Wright by Clifford Tatum, Assistant Director of Legal Affairs, Georgia Election Division
- 19 – “Security in the Georgia Voting System,” 23 April 2003, by Britain J. Williams, Ph.D.
- 20 – Interview of Michael Barnes by the author, 11 February 2003 and “Security in the Georgia Voting System,” 23 April 2003, by Britain J. Williams, Ph.D.
- 21 – *Salon.com*, 20 February 2003, “Hacking Democracy,” by Farhad Manjoo
- 22 – Interview w. Diebold spokesman Joe Richardson by the author, February 2003

Chapter 11

- 1 – “Security in the Georgia Voting System,” 23 April 2003, by Britain J. Williams, Ph.D.
- 2 – *WiredNews.com*, 13 October 2003; “Did E-Vote Firm Patch Election?”
- 3 – *Georgia Vine* Vol. III, Issue 18, 25 September 2003.

Chapter 12

- 1 – Digital Millenium Copyright Act of 1998
<http://www.loc.gov/copyright/legislation/dmca.pdf>
- 2 – “Security in the Georgia Voting System,” 23 April 2003, by Brit Williams
- 3 – Georgia RFP Sales Proposal for Diebold Election Systems: Phase I, Tech Proposal.
- 4 – Analysis of an Electronic Voting System, Johns Hopkins Information Security Institute Technical Report TR-2003-19, 23 July 2003. <http://avirubin.com/vote/>
- 5 – *Scoop Media*, 8 July 2003; “Sludge Report #154: Bigger than Watergate”
<http://www.scoop.co.nz/mason/stories/HL0307/S00064.htm>
- 6 – *The New York Time,s* 24 July 2003; “Computer Voting Is Open to Easy Fraud, Experts Say”
- 7 – *WiredNews.com* 4 August 2003; “More Calls to Vet Voting Machines”
- 8 – *The Plain Dealer*, 14 August 2003; “Voting machines under review in Columbus”
- 9 – *EcoTalk.org* 18 August 2003; “Voting Machine Fiasco: SAIC, VoteHere and Diebold” <http://www.ecotalk.org/VoteHereSAIC.htm>
- 10 – “Risk Assessment Report Diebold AccuVote-TS Voting System and Processes” 2 September 2003; Science Applications International Corp.
- 11 – Ohio Secretary of State Web site, 2 December 2003; “Blackwell Seeks

Improvements and Additional Security Assurances from Electronic Voting Machine Vendors” <http://www.sos.state.oh.us/sos/news/release/12-02-03.htm>

Chapter 13

- 1 – *The Tribune*, 4 September 2003, “Security of SLO County’s voting system called into question”
- 2 – Interview with Julie Rodewald by the author, 4 September 2003
- 3 – Posts to Democratic Underground and BBVreport forums by Greg Dinger, 8 October 2003.
- 4 – *New York Daily News*, 14 November 2000; “TV exec’s kin-do attitude”
- 5 – Report: “CBS News Coverage of Election Night 2000: Investigation, Analysis, Recommendations prepared for CBS News”
- 6 – Fox News, 29 November 2000; “Special Report with Brit Hume”
- 7 – Diebold Memos: 17 January 2001 from Lana Hires; “I need some answers!”
- 8 – Diebold Memos: January 2001 from John McLaurin
- 9 – Diebold Memos: 18 January 2001 from Talbot Iredale; “Here is all the information I have about the ‘negative’ counts”
- 10 – “CBS News Coverage of Election Night 2000”
- 11 – “The Best Democracy Money Can Buy,” Greg Palast
- 12 – “CBS News Coverage of Election Night 2000”
- 13 – Agence France Presse, 8 November 2000; “Chronology of US election night confusion”
- 14 – *Dow Jones Business News*, 7 November 2000*; “Bush Claims Cautious Victory, But Gore Narrows Lead as Recount Continues” (Note: Date listed in Factiva news database was November 7, but it would seem that this would have to be November 8.)
- 15 – Associated Press, 27 October 2003; “Diebold warns on electronic voting papers”
- 16 – Meeting with Rep. Jim McDermott (D-WA), 12 September 2003
- 17 – BlackBoxVoting.org, 12 November 2003; “Internal Memos: Diebold Doing End-Runs Around Certification”
- 18 – Annual Report for Global Election Systems, 2000
- 19 – Interview with Rob Behler; also, on the Diebold FTP site were two directories containing the Windows CE source code. These directories contained within them an identifier for Talbot R. Iredale’s server, “sinbad/tri.”
- 20 – NASED documents provided by Washington Elections Director David Elliott
- 21 – Washington State Legislature Technology Committee hearing, 3 December 2003
- 22 – Diebold memos, Whitman Lee Wed, 7 July 1999: “GEMS 1.11.2 is ready ... ” GEMS 1.11.2 was also found on the Diebold FTP site, dated 1999, release notes confirm July 1999 release date.
- 23 – Diebold memos: 4 March 2002 from Sophia Lee; “Cards Cast Incorrect”
- 24 – *WiredNews*, 17 December 2003; “E-Voting Undermined by Sloppiness”
- 25 – *Seattle Post-Intelligencer*, 17 December 2003; “Secretary of state seeks paper trail for e-votes”
- 26 – *The News Tribune*, 17 December 2003; “High-tech voting weighed”
- 27 – PRNewswire, 18 December 2003 “Diebold Election Systems, Inc. is announcing a complete restructuring of the way the company handles qualification”
- 28 – Mike Webb radio show, 1 December, 2003

Chapter 14

- 1 – Securities filing for Global Election Systems, Canada: 2001
- 2 – Washington State Department of Corrections records for Jeffrey W. Dean
- 3 – Source: Diebold memos
- 4 – Associated Press, 16 December 2003; “Critics: Convicted felons worked for electronic voting companies”
- 5 – Washington State Department of Corrections records, Jeffrey W. Dean and John L. Elder
- 6 – Securities filing for Global Election Systems, Canada: 2001
- 7 – October 2002; IEEE Spectrum — “A Better Ballot Box: New electronic voting systems pose risks as well as solutions” by Rebecca Mercuri <http://www.notablessoftware.com/Papers/1002evot.pdf>
- 8 – *Votescam: The Stealing of America*, by James and Kenneth Collier; Victoria House Press, 1993

Chapter 15

- 1 – 20 February 2003, Salon.com: “Hacking Democracy” by Farhad Manjoo. http://www.salon.com/tech/feature/2003/02/20/voting_machines/index2.html
- 2 – 3 January 2003, The Dave Ross Show, KIRO radio
- 3 – *Counting on Democracy*. Powerful investigative reporting into the Florida election fiasco by Greg Palast. Globalvision. <http://www.gregpalast.com>
- 4 – *Voterevolution*, by Micheal Stinson. TakeBackTheMedia.com <http://www.takebackthemedias.com/voterevolution.html>
- 5 – *Term-Eliminator 3 – Total Davis Recall: Rise of the Digital Voting Machines*. <http://www.toostupidtobepresident.com/shockwave/votingmachines.htm>

Chapter 16

- 1 – 4 November 2003 9:56 a.m., *Houston Chronicle*: “Voters encounter eSlate glitch” By John Williams and S.K. Bardwell
- 2 – 5 November 2003, 10:19 a.m., *Houston Chronicle*: “ESlate voting proves smooth, not flawless” By Eric Berger
- 3 – 11 December 2000, *Los Angeles Times*: “A Place in Politics for Salesmen and Wares”. Excerpt: “Four hundred convention guests [election officials] dined aboard a Hornblower yacht cruising San Francisco Bay, partly financed by \$10,000 from the Sequoia Pacific vote supply firm ...”
- 4 – 23 August 2003, *Scoop Media*: “SAIC Connected To E-Voting Whitewash” www.scoop.co.nz/mason/stories/HL0308/S00173.htm
- 5 – 23 CNET News.com, 9 December 2003; The Election Technology Council

Index

- 2000 presidential election 2, 8, 11,
16, 22, 47, 57, 76, 173-178
- Absentee votes 5, 6, 7, 12, 33, 34,
42, 84, 194
- Accenture 78, 226, 228, 229
- AccuPoll 103, 209, 229
- ACLU (See American Civil Liberties Union)
- Adams, John 58
- Adams, Samuel 62
- Adler, Jim 73, 74
- Advanced Voting Solutions 231
- Ahmanson – Howard Jr., Robert,
William 66
- AIS Investors Inc. 31
- Akin, Jeremiah 206
- Alabama
Baldwin County 4
Birmingham 65, 70
Huntsville 55, 93
- Alexander, Kim 207
- Allen, David 87-8, 178, 198, 216, 224
- Alsdorf, Mildred 22
- American Civil Liberties Union
119, 201, 212
- “Analysis of an Electronic Voting
System” 160-162
- Arizona
City of Tucson 189
Dysart 17
Oro Valley A-ii
Pima County 11, 108, 189
Tucson 9, 108, A-ii
Yavapai County 189
- Arkansas 9
- Arthur Andersen 78
- Audit Log 143,
147, 159, 160, 165, 190
- Australia 57, 72, 73, 76, 202
- Australian paper ballot system 34
- Avante 209, 210
- Bacon, John, A-iii
- Baird, Brian A-ii
- Baird, Victor 28, 29, 30, 31
- Baker, J.R. A-ii
- Baran, Jan 32
- Bamecker, Susan 211, A- i
- Barnes, Michael 92-95,
97, 98, 101, 102, 119, 120, 121
- Barth, Jane 165
- Beddill, Marian 203
- Behler, Rob 122, 123-137, 184
- Beleskey, Brent 214
- Bernstein, Carl 89
- Bizmaier, Don 184
- Bolton, Alexander 30, 32
- Blair, Tony 72
- Bollyn, Christopher 196
- Bonsall, Penelope 77
- Bradley, Ed 175, 176
- Brady, Kevin 17
- Brookshire, Van 17
- Bruce, Bob 13
- Buchanan, Wyatt 190
- Bugzilla 127
- Burgio, David A-iv
- Burk, Dan 111
- Bush, George W. 8, 16,
79, 80, 81, 166, 170, 174-178
- Bush, Jeb 114, A-vi
- Butterfly ballot 47, 205
- California
Alameda County
86, 184, 185, 189, 205, 211
Berkeley 65
Fresno County 205
Humboldt County 205
Los Angeles 184-5, 189, 191, 205
Marin County 42, 166, 168-69, 171
Monterey A-v
Orange County 5, 79
Placer County 189
Point Reyes 34
Riverside County 70, 112, 206
Sacramento 210
San Diego County 164
San Francisco 34, A-iv
San Luis Obispo County 105,
166-168, 180
Santa Clara County 12, 50, 71
Stanislaus County 14
Tulare County 168, 205
- California Institute of Technology 18
- Caltech/MIT Voting Technology
Project 18
- California Task Force on
Electronic Voting 86
- California Voter Foundation 207
- Camelot Group plc. 72
- Canada
B.C., Vancouver 82, 183
Ontario, Barrie 214
Ontario, Toronto 40
- Canadian Wheat Board A-iii
- CapStreet Group 80
- Carrel, Marc 168, 189
- Carter Center, The 118
- Carter, Jimmy 42, 118
- Cassano, Anthony A-ii
- Cayman Islands 75
- “CBS News Coverage of Election
Night 2000” 170, 173-178
- Cell phone use with voting
machines 166, 168-69, 213
- Chads 47, 154, 174, 223
- Chavez, Hugo 10
- Cheney, Dick 32, 81
- Charles, Alfie 71
- Ciber Inc. 52, 53, 54, 55, 86, 90,
93, 125, 165
- Cisco Systems 73
- Citizens for Voting Integrity 179
- Clark, Ken 51, 127, 165, 171, 181-186
- Clear Channel Communications 79
- Cleland, Max 88
- Clinton, Bill 119
- Collier: James, Ken, Victoria 199-200
- Colorado
Arapahoe County A-iii
Colorado Springs 65
Denver 12, 71
Denver County A-iv
Garfield County 22
Glenwood Springs 22
- Commercial Off-The-Shelf
software (COTS) 102
- Common Cause 207
- Compaq Computer 73, 74
- Computer Concepts & Services 65
- Computer Election Systems Inc. 65
- Connally, John 35, 53
- Contract Microfilm Services 65
- Cooper, Norton 82, 83
- Cooper, Sharon 15
- Coors family 80
- Corvin, Aaron 190
- Cosgrove, Peter 70, 72
- COTS (See Commercial Off the Shelf)
- Cotter, Joe 11
- Cox, Cathy 37, 90, 119, 133, 179
- Cramer, Howard 12
- Cronus Industries Inc. 64, 65
- Cullinan, Bill 109
- Dahmer, John 23
- Daley, William 177
- Daloisio, Donna 171
- Danaher Corp A-ii
- Danciu, Emil 86
- Daniel, Richard 15
- Data Management Associates 65
- Data Mark System 66
- David G. Carney Co. 65
- Dayton Legal Blank Co. 65
- De La Rue plc 72
- Dean, Deborah M. 195
- Dean, Jeffrey 84, 192-195
- Declaration of Independence
62, 111, 196
- Dedier, Lou 48
- Defense Policy Board 74
- Dell Computer Corporation 79-80
- DemocraticUnderground.com (DU)
138-156, 214
- Denial of service attack 40
- DES encryption 152
- DFM Associates 79, 80
- Digital Millennium Copyright
Act” (DMCA) 138, 141
- Digital signature 95, 98, 100
- Dill, David 49, 86, 87, 169, 226
- Dinger, Greg 169

- Dix, Larry 185
 Dixon, Roscoe 17
 DJInteractive.com 4
 DMCA (See Digital Millennium Copyright Act)
 Donovan, Kathleen A-i
 Dugger, Ronnie 117
 Durazo, Jesse 50
 EDS 79, 226
 Elder, John 84, 194
 Election Center, The 48, 52-55
 179, 224, 225, 227
 Election Systems Task Force 226
 Election Technology Council 231
 Election Technology Laboratories 50
 election.com 40, 75-78
 Electronic Frontier Foundation
 212, 215
 Elliott, David 51, 74, 163, 186-
 188, 203, 210
 Ellis, John 170, 176
 Eron Mortgage 83
 Eschberger, Tom 9-10
 eSlate 78, 220, 221
 Espinoza, Arthur 6
 Eu, March Fong 48
 Evans, J. Randolph 133
 Faulkner, Bob 7
 Federal Election Commission
 (FEC) 50, 77, 188
 Feldman, Michael 177
 Ferguson, Kathryn 71
 Fifteenth Amendment 1
 Finberg, Nel 165, 185
 First Amendment Defense Trust 34
 Flocco, Tom 168
 Flood, Glenn 77
 Florida
 Alachua County 185
 Boca Raton 86
 Brevard County 170, 175, 178
 Broward County 11, 21, A-i
 Clearwater 22
 Dade County 11, 175, 199, A-i
 Duval County 36, 175
 Hillsborough County A-i
 Miami 21
 Manatee A-iv
 Medley A-v
 Palm Beach County 45, 86, 176, A-v
 Polk County 14
 Union County 15
 St. Lucie County 171
 Volusia County 16, 166, 170-
 178, 192, A-iv
 Floyd, Pam 74
 Forsythe, Greg 185
 Foster, Phil 18, 70-72 A-v
 Fowler, Jerry 70, 71
 France 196
 Franklin, Benjamin 57
 Franz, Linda 19, 179, 203-4, 208-10
 Free Republic 156
 Frontera, Michael 71
 Gallagher, James 23
 Galloway, Jim 95, 115
 Garofolo, Lisa 8
 Gates, Robert 74
 George Bush School of Business 74
 Georgia
 Atlanta 15, 91
 Bibb County 24
 Cobb County 157
 DeKalb County 24, 124, 132
 Fulton County 24, 129
 Glynn County 24
 Hall County 90
 Marion County 90
 Suwanee 74
 Georgia Technology Authority 120
 Gerbel, Jack 231
 Gilbert Southern Corp. 69
 Given, Mitchell 48
 Gore, Al 16, 170-178
 Graham, Tracy 231
 Graye, Michael K. 83
 Great Britain 72
 Swindon, England 74
 Green, Pat 194
 Greene, Thomas C. 103
 Guarino, Michael A-ii
 Hagel, Chuck 8, 27-32, 68, 115, A-vi
 Hagin, Chris 119
 Hallmark, Jeff 186
 Hamby, Michael A-i
 Harrington, Mark 75
 Harrower, Nancy 17
 Hart, David 78
 Hart Graphics 78, 79
 Hart Intercivic 71, 78-80, 163,
 220-221, 224, 231
 Hartmann, Thom 85
 HAVA (See Help America Vote Act)
 Hawaii
 Honolulu 10, A-iii
 Helander, Willard 11
 Help America Vote Act 21, 47, 79,
 170, 174, 204, 222, 226, 232
 Heritage Foundation 80
 Herron, Barry 112
 Heyward, Andrew 177
 Hicks, Muse, Tate & Furst 79
 Hicks, Tom 79
 Hill, Beverly 185
 Hires, Lana 172
 Holt, Rush 192
 Hopkins/Rice report 160-162
 Hopsicker, Dan A-i
 Hughes, Jonathan 48
 Hurd, Earl 40
 I-Mark Systems 109
 IACREOT 53
 Illinois
 Chicago 65, A-iii
 Kane County 5
 Lake County 5, 11
 Rockford 65
 Waukegan 11
 Independent Testing Authority (ITA)
 52-3, 86, 96-7, 98, 101, 121, 125,
 162, 165, 166, 179, 185, 188, 228
 Indiana
 Boone County 8
 Marion County 13
 Tippacanoe 186
 IndyMedia 215
 Information Technology Association
 of America (See ITAA)
 International Voters Coalition 214
 Internet voting 40-41, 64, 73-75, 225
 Iowa
 Allamakee County 8
 Ireedale, Talbot 82-3, 99, 126, 130,
 131, 132, 136, 170, 172-3, 184-5
 ITA (See Independent Testing Authority)
 ITAA 217-231
 Jablonski, Richard A-iii
 Jackson, Bonnie 71
 Jefferson Smurfit Group 72
 Jefferson, Thomas
 58, 59, 60, 61, 113, 114
 Jekot, Roxanne 24-5, 95, 118, 136
 Johns Hopkins/Rice Report 105,
 151, 160-162
 Johnson, Karen A-v
 Johnson, Lyndon 35
 Jones, Bill 48, 71
 Jones, Dr. Douglas W. 19, 33, 49
 Justice, Pamela 17
 Kansas
 Clay County 5
 Johnson County 131, 179, 189, A-iv
 Kansas City A-iii
 Kabasakalian, Mary A-iv
 Kasich, John R. A-ii
 Keating, Dan 89
 Kelley, Mark 4
 Kennesaw Center for Election
 Systems 91, 96
 Kennesaw State University (KSU) 91,
 92, 93, 95, 96, 123, 124, 129, 134
 Kentucky 105, 184
 Jefferson County 184
 Kiewit, Peter 67, 68, 69
 Kiewit, Peter Jr. 68
 Knecht, Steve 168, 171, 180, 183
 Knowles, C. 119
 Koch, Karl 71
 Kohno, Yoshi 161, 162
 Kristol, William 60
 Krizka, John 49
 Ku Klux Klan 1
 Kucinich, Dennis 215
 Kunzman, Jerome 205
 L&A test (See Logic & Accuracy test)
 Lamb, Denise A-iv
 Lancaster, Guy 82-3, 111, 168-9, 183

Index

- Landes, Lynn 3, 26, 162, 180
Leahy, David A-v
Lee, Charles Hong 82, 83
Lee, Sophia 167, 168, 172, 189
Lee, Whitman 183, 191
Lemens, Bob A-i
LePore, Theresa A-v
Level 3 Communications 69
Lever machines 19, 35, 63, 64
Lewis, R. Doug 53, 54, 55, 224,
225, 226, 227, 229
Lewis, Linda 9
Linehan, Lou Ann 29, 32
Lockheed Martin 226
Loe, Greg 132, 133, 136
Logan, Dean 187, 188
Logic and Accuracy test (L&A)
50, 128, 92, 93, 104, 128, 206
Long, Earl 21
Louisiana
Ascension Parish 24
Bernard Parish Appendix A-vi
New Orleans 68, 211, A-i
Tangipahoa Parish 23
Lowy, Frank 72, 73
LTS Holdings, Inc 81
Lytel, Elaine 7
Macrotrends International Ventures
Inc. 82, 83
March, Jim 86, 167, 210, 214
Maryland 8, 9, 23,
98, 99, 105, 116, 162, 163, 164, 186
Baltimore 18, 116
Croom 9
Upper Marlboro 9
Mason, George 58
Massachusetts
Waltham 109
Massachusetts Institute of
Technology 18
Matthews, Karen 14
Mattia, V. Thomas 23
Mattox, Jim A-i
Matson, Jeff 204
Matulka, Charlie 28-9, 32, A-vi
Maximus Inc. 79, 80
Mayo, Jerry 5
McBride, Bill A-vi
McCain, John 80
McCarthy Group 28, 30-1, 66, 68
McCarthy, Michael R. 28, 29, 68
McCuen, Bill 9
McDonald, Laughlin 119
McDonald, Valerie 22
McKeon, Tom 22
McLaurin, John 172
Memory card 6, 24, 25, 93, 132,
170, 171, 172, 173, 199
Mercuri Method 198
Mercuri, Rebecca 19, 49, 86, 117, 198
Metamore 55, 165
Micro Trade Mart Inc. 55
Microsoft 102, 109, 121, 141
Microsoft Access 146, 148, 157,
158, 159, 165
Microsoft Windows (see Windows)
MicroVote 8, 13, 228
Miholic, Richard 5
Miller, Harris 225-231
Miller, Mark Crispin 119
Miller, Paul 43, 190
Miller/Davis Company 65
Minnesota
Minneapolis 65
St. Cloud 65
Mohen, Joe 76
Moore, Melba 79
Moreland, Steve 194
Mortham, Sandra 48
Moyers, Bill 201
Munro, Ralph 48, 74, 187
Murphy, Tom 119
NAPT (See North American
Professional Technologies)
NASD (National Association of
State Election Directors) 51,
52, 53, 93, 108, 120, 166, 168,
179, 186, 187, 188, 203, 227
National Association of Secretaries
of State (NASS) 53
National Association of State Election
Directors (See NASD)
National Institute of Standards and
Technology (NIST) 226
Nebraska 5, 25, 29,
66, 68, 69, 115, A-vi
Gretna 5
Lincoln 27
Omaha 10, 11, 27, 66, 69
Platte River Valley 27
Nelson, Ben 27
Neumann, Dr. Peter 19, 49, 117
Neuenschwander, Dan A-iii
Nevada
Clark County 12, 16, 21, 71
Las Vegas 6
Washoe County 111, A-iii
Nevada Policy Research Institute 16
New Jersey
Bergen County A-i
Cherry Hill 23
Mays Landing County 23-24
Passaic 23
New Mexico
Albuquerque A-iv
Bernalillo County 12
Taos A-v
New York
Buffalo A-iv
Monroe County A-vi
Onondaga County 7
Nexus Ventures Ltd. 83
Nichols Research 55
North American Professional
Technologies (NAPT) 82, 83, 184
North Carolina
Davidson County A-iv
Gaston County 165
Greensboro 2
Lee's Mill 1
Raleigh A-iv
Wake County A-vi
Wayne County 5
Northrop Grumman 226
Northwest Venture Associates 73
O'Dell, Wally 81, 111, 116, 211
Ohio
Akron 7
Canton 82
Crawford County 24
Franklin County A ii-iii
Lima A-i
Portage County 7
Oklahoma 6, 17, 69
Oklahoma County 17
Omaha World-Herald 66, 67, 68
O'Neal, Edward A-iii
Online Policy Group 215
Open source software development
103, 198, 199
Optical-scan voting systems
7, 8, 12, 16, 19, 25, 41, 42,
64, 82, 84, 169, 170, 183, 184, 193
Oregon
Multnomah County 33
Osan Ltd 75, 76, 77
Osborne, Karen 17
Otter, Faun 211
Owens, Bill 74, 162
Page, Sue 186
Palast, Greg 114, 174, 211
Palmieri 205
Papushin, Dmitry 180, 181
Parker, Amy 75, 77, 78
Parker, Bruce 14
Peavy, Donn 6
Penland, James 136
Pennsylvania
Allegheny County 22-23
Philadelphia 23
Pittsburgh 22, 65
Pentagon 75, 76, 77
Pepper, Claude 199
Perez, J.R. A-ii
Perot Systems 79
Peter Kiewit Foundation 67, 68
Peter Kiewit Sons' Inc 67-69
Petro, Jim 48
Pfaff, William 60
Pfeffer, Jerry 69
Philpot, David 70, 71
Piper, Ian 125, 131, 133, 136,
182, 184
Pitt, William Rivers 86
Pitts, Byron 175
Postal Services of Washington 194

- Powell, Gary 120
 Price, Jennifer 165
 Pryce, Deborah A-ii
 PSI Group 194
 PSI.net 55
 Puckett, David 220, 221
 Punch cards 19, 35, 64, 194
Qui Tam 137
 Radke, Mark 116
 Rael, Jeannette A-v
 Rather, Dan 176, 177
 Reagan, Ronald 42
 Reconstruction Acts 1
 Reed, Sam 48, 74, 187
 Rellinger, James 95, 124, 134, 136
 Repp, Ed 7
 RES Partners 79
 Resolution on Electronic Voting 49
 Ricci, Pasquale 71
 Richardson, Joe 112, 121-123
 Rickards, Clinton 83
 Riggall, Chris 90, 120
 Riley, Bob 4
 Rivera, Juan 169
 Rivera, Rafael 11
 Rivest, Ronald L. 49
 rob-georgia 89, 90, 92, 94, 100,
 102, 116, 118, 122, 123, 137
 Roberts, Clay 211
 Rodewald, Julie 166, 167
 Roe, Bill Jr. 8
 Romero, Richard 12
 Rosberg, Paul 25
 Rubin, Avi 160, 161, 162
 Ruggles, Dot 22
 Rules of Office of the Secretary of
 State Election 117, 118, 120
 Runyan, Tari 184, 186
 SAIC 74, 162, 163, 164
 Salwen, Richard 79
 Sanderson, Doug 17
 Saudi 75, 76, 77
 Scaife, Richard Mellon 80
 Schmoke, Kurt L. 18
 Scholl, Jaye 82
 Schmidt, Connie A-iv
 Schwarzenegger, Arnold 211
 Scientific Applications Interna-
 tional Corp (See SAIC)
 Scoop Media 115-117, 159-60, 212, 229
 Seiler, Deborah 48, 167
 Seminole Nation 6
 Senate Ethics Committee 28-9, 30, 31
 SERVE project 74, 75, 78
 Shelley, Kevin 189
 Shulsky, Abram 60
 Siegelman, Don 4
 Simon, Bill 81
 Simon, William E. 80, 81
 Sinkule, Kara 119, 120
 Smith, Charles 76, 77
 Smith, Edward W. 51
 Smith, Erika D. 116
 Smith, Hal 6
 Smith, Van 116
 Smothers, Cathy 180, 182
 Smyth, Julie Carr 81, 116
 South Carolina 14, 24, A-v
 Pickens County 24
 Rock Hill 14
 South Dakota 15, 43, 68
 Southworth, Shawn 54, 55, 56
 Spafford, Eugene 49
 Spectrum Print & Mail 195
 Spillane, Dan 16
 Stenberg, Don 27
 Stephenson, Andy 179, 187, 190, 207
 Stevenson, Coke 35
 Stinson, Michael 211
 Stratford Capital 79
 Strauss, Leo 60
 Stubblefield, Adam 160, 162
 Swarthmore College 215
 Sweden 74
 Swiderski, Tom 208
 SysTest 52
 TakeBackTheMedia.com 211
 Tangen, Tom A-i
 Tatum, Clifford 120
 Taylor, M.A. 9
 Taylor, Mark 118
 Tennessee
 Knoxville 14
 Memphis A-iii
 Shelby County 17, 22, A-iii
 Terwilliger, Bob 191
 Texas
 Austin 78
 Comal County 20
 Conroe 16-17
 Crawford 81
 Dallas 10, 64, 110, 222, 223,
 A-i, A-v
 El Paso County 189
 Guadalupe County A- ii
 Houston 221
 Jim Wells County 35
 McLennan County 9
 McKinney
 82, 91, 110, 125, 127, 131, 183
 Montgomery County 17
 Plano 110
 Richardson 110
 San Antonio 65
 Scurry County 6, 43
 Wylie 110
 Texas Growth Fund 80
 Texas Rangers 79, 80
 Thiesen, Ellen 220
 Thomas, Martyn 49
 Thomas, Terrence 135
 Thompson, Alastair 115, 116, 160
 Timken, W. H. 81
 TooStupidToBePresident.com 211
 Townsend, Michelle 112
 Triton 79-80
 Turner, Rodney D 184
 UniLect 231
 United Pacific Management Ltd. 82
 Urken, Arnold B. 49, 50
 Urosevich, Bob 7-8, 27, 66, 102,
 109, 111, 129, 131, 133, 134,
 137, 190, 208, A-iv, A-v
 Urosevich, Todd 8, 14, 66, A-iii
 Utah
 Salt Lake City 7
 Van Loan, Charles 49
 Van School, Bob A-ii
 Vancouver Stock Exchange 82
 Varner, Philip E. 73
 Venezuela 10
 Venturcom Inc. 109
 Vinex Wines Inc. 83
 Virginia
 Crozet A-iv
 Norfolk A-iii
 VNS (See Voter News Service)
 Vopalensky, Don 185
 Votation 45
 Vote forecasting 232
 Vote suppression: 232
 VoteHere 16, 40, 48, 73-75, 162,
 163, 186, 209
 Voter News Service 170, 174-177
 Voter registration 75, 84, 232
 Votescam 199
 Walker, Robert 30
 Wallach, Dan 161, 162
 Washington
 Chelan County 189
 Everett A-vi
 King County 12, 165, 187, 188-
 89, 192, 193, 194, A-i
 Seattle 13
 Snohomish County 12, 191, A-vi
 Thurston County A-ii
 Weber, Susan Marie 212
 West, Kevin 9
 Whouley, Michael 177
 William E. Simon & Sons 80-81
 Williams, Al A-i
 Williams, Britain 24, 50,
 51, 93, 95-102, 111, 120, 121,
 124, 125, 127, 129, 132, 133,
 134, 135, 136, 147, 148
 WinEDS 190
 Wireless 44, 145, 153, 154
 Wisconsin 79
 Wolfowitz, Paul 60
 Woodward, Bob 89
 Wright, Denis 119, 120
 Wyle Laboratories
 51, 52, 53, 54, 56, 80, 81, 86,
 90, 92, 93, 95, 105, 125, 126
 Young, Marlene Duffy 14
 Zero reports 156-157